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## Gathering on a circle with limited visibility



Setting: a team of robots on a circle, initially at distinct locations.

## Gathering on a circle with limited visibility



Robots can only move along the circle.

## Gathering on a circle with limited visibility



At every time unit, an adversarial (semi-synchronous) scheduler decides which robots are active and which are inactive.
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At every time unit, an adversarial (semi-synchronous) scheduler decides which robots are active and which are inactive.

## Gathering on a circle with limited visibility



A robot can see other robots only within a fixed range.

## Gathering on a circle with limited visibility



Its destination point is determined based on the visible robots only.
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Its destination point is determined based on the visible robots only.

## Gathering on a circle with limited visibility



Goal of the team: eventually gather in a point and stop moving.
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Goal of the team: eventually gather in a point and stop moving.

## Gathering on a circle with limited visibility



A gathering algorithm should be successful no matter how the adversarial scheduler decides to activates the robots.

## Gathering on a circle with limited visibility



A gathering algorithm for the circle extends to any closed curve.

## Gathering on a circle with limited visibility



The circle is the hardest curve for gathering, because all its points are equivalent, with no "landmarks" that may help orientation.

## Outline

- Model definition
- If each robot sees less than half a circle:

Gathering is unsolvable

- If each robot sees the whole circle except its antipodal point: There is a gathering algorithm


## Model definition

Robots are:

- Dimensionless (robots are modeled as geometric points)
- Anonymous (no unique identifiers)
- Homogeneous (the same algorithm is executed by all robots)
- Deterministic (robots cannot use randomization)
- Disoriented (robots do not share a common reference frame)
- Autonomous (no centralized control)
- Semi-Synchronous (robots may occasionally skip turns)
- Oblivious (no memory of past events and observations)
- Silent (no explicit way of communicating)
- Short-sighted (visibility of other robots limited to a range)
- Unknowing (no knowledge of the total number of robots)


## Rotationally symmetric configurations



Consider a configuration with a rotational symmetry.

## Rotationally symmetric configurations



Symmetric robots have identical views.

## Rotationally symmetric configurations



If the scheduler decides to activate all of them at the same time, they move in symmetric ways.

## Rotationally symmetric configurations



So, the configuration remains rotationally symmetric.

## Rotationally symmetric configurations



We conclude that, from a rotationally symmetric configuration, the robots cannot form an asymmetric one.

## Rotationally symmetric configurations



A necessary condition for the gathering problem to be solvable is that the initial configuration be rotationally asymmetric.

Visibility range too short: gathering impossible


Let the robots be evenly spaced around the circle.

Visibility range too short: gathering impossible


Let us randomly perturb them, and let us study their behavior.
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Let us randomly perturb them, and let us study their behavior.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



Let us focus on an active robot, and assume that its visibility range is less than a semicircle.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



The robot will compute a destination point within its visibility range. Assume this point is currently not occupied by a robot.

Visibility range too short: gathering impossible


Re-locate the robots on the opposite semicircle as shown.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



The selected robot will still compute the same destination point, because its visible region has not changed.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



As a result, we have an asymmetric configuration that can evolve into a symmetric one: gathering is impossible.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



Therefore, a gathering algorithm should not instruct a robot to move to an unoccupied location.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



So, let us assume that the robot's destination point is another robot's current location.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



Suppose that also another perturbation of the robot causes it to move to the same robot's location.

Visibility range too short: gathering impossible


Re-locate the robots on the opposite semicircle as shown.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



Again, the selected robot will still compute the same destination point, because its visible region has not changed.

Visibility range too short: gathering impossible


Its copy on the opposite semicircle will move to the corresponding destination point.

Visibility range too short: gathering impossible


Assume that the scheduler activates both copies of the robot.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



Once again, we have an asymmetric configuration that can evolve into a symmetric one: gathering is impossible.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



So, there should not be two perturbations of the same robot that cause it to move to the same robot's location.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



As a consequence, only finitely many perturbations of a robot should cause it to move at all.
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As a consequence, only finitely many perturbations of a robot should cause it to move at all.
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As a consequence, only finitely many perturbations of a robot should cause it to move at all.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



In particular, a random perturbation of a robot should cause it to stay still with probability 1.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



This holds for each robot independently, so it holds for all robots: if randomly perturbed, they will all stay still with probability 1.

Visibility range too short: gathering impossible


Also, a random perturbation is asymmetric with probability 1.

## Visibility range too short: gathering impossible



We conclude that there is one asymmetric configuration where no robot moves. In particular, gathering is impossible.

## Gathering algorithm for full visibility

Assume now that all robots have full visibility of the whole circle.

## Gathering algorithm for full visibility



Let the configuration be rotationally asymmetric, and consider the cyclic sequence of angles induced by the robots' locations.

## Gathering algorithm for full visibility



Each robot has an associated angle sequence; the robot with the lexicographically smallest angle sequence is the leader.

## Gathering algorithm for full visibility



Note that the leader is unique because the configuration is asymmetric, and all robots agree on the same leader.

## Gathering algorithm for full visibility



Gathering algorithm: the leader moves clockwise to the next robot's location.

## Gathering algorithm for full visibility



A unique multiplicity point is thus formed, i.e., a point where two or more robots are co-located.

## Gathering algorithm for full visibility



Next, all robots move to the multiplicity point.
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Next, all robots move to the multiplicity point.
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Next, all robots move to the multiplicity point.

## Gathering algorithm for full visibility



Can we adapt this strategy to robots with limited visibility?

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



Almost full visibility: each robot sees the whole circle except its antipodal point.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



From the point of view of a robot $r$, two scenarios are possible: the antipodal point is not occupied, and $v(r)$ is the visible leader...

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility


... Or the antipodal point is occupied by a robot, and in this case the leader $g(r)$ is called the ghost leader.
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... Or the antipodal point is occupied by a robot, and in this case the leader $g(r)$ is called the ghost leader.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



Note that either $g(r)$ or $v(r)$ is the "true leader", depending on whether the point opposite to $r$ is occupied or not.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



If $r=v(r)=g(r)$, then $r$ is a cognizant leader:
$r$ is certainly the true leader, and it is aware of it.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



In this case, $r$ acts like in the full-visibility setting: it moves to the next robot clockwise, forming a multiplicity point.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



If $r=v(r) \neq g(r)$, then $r$ is an undecided leader: $r$ sees itself as the leader, but it knows it may be wrong.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



What if an undecided leader moves to the next robot, as well?

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



There may be more than one undecided leader in a configuration. If both are activated, two distinct multiplicity points are created.
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There may be more than one undecided leader in a configuration. If both are activated, two distinct multiplicity points are created.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



As we would like to have at most one multiplicity point, we should not let an undecided leader move to the next robot.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



Instead, an undecided leader will attempt to "strengthen its leadership" by moving halfway toward the next robot clockwise.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



After that, it will have a smaller angle sequence, and it will be "more likely" to be the true leader.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



We also want to prevent robots from having antipodal robots, in order to promote mutual visibility.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



So, if the halfway point is antipodal to some robot, an undecided leader will move slightly further.
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So, if the halfway point is antipodal to some robot, an undecided leader will move slightly further.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



There is one more special case to consider: what if the robot next to an undecided leader has an antipodal robot?

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



In this case, the undecided leader will keep approaching the next robot indefinitely.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



In this case, the undecided leader will keep approaching the next robot indefinitely.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



So, in this special case, approaching the next robot is a bad idea.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



The correct move is to go slightly past the next robot.

## Gathering algorithm for almost full visibility



This will "unlock" the configuration, and is likely to create a cognizant leader.

## Gathering algorithm: summary



Rule 1: a cognizant leader moves to the next robot.

## Gathering algorithm: summary



Rule 2: an undecided leader moves halfway to the next robot.

## Gathering algorithm: summary



Rule 3: if the midpoint has an antipodal robot, an undecided leader moves slightly past it.

## Gathering algorithm: summary



Rule 4: if the next robot has an antipodal robot, an undecided leader moves slightly past it.

## Correctness: Rule 1



Suppose that a cognizant leader executes Rule 1.

## Correctness: Rule 1



There can be at most one cognizant leader, so a unique multiplicity point is formed.

## Correctness: Rule 1



Now, all robots that see the multiplicity point move to it.

## Correctness: Rule 1



At most one robot will not join the multiplicity point: its antipodal robot.

## Correctness: Rule 1



This robot will eventually see no other robot. When this happens, it makes a move in any direction.

## Correctness: Rule 1



From there, the robot will be able to see the multiplicity point, and it will finally join it.
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From there, the robot will be able to see the multiplicity point, and it will finally join it.

## Correctness: Rule 1



There is one special case to consider: the robot antipodal to the multiplicity point may become a cognizant leader.
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There is one special case to consider: the robot antipodal to the multiplicity point may become a cognizant leader.

## Correctness: Rule 1



This may originate a second multiplicity point. However, the two multiplicity points are not antipodes.

## Correctness: Rule 1



So, the two multiplicity points can be distinguished, and all robots can deterministically join the same one.

## Correctness: Rule 1

Thus, if a robot ever executes Rule 1, all robots eventually gather.

## Correctness: Rule 4



Suppose that an undecided leader $r$ executes Rule 4, moving slightly past the next robot $s$, which has an antipodal robot $s^{\prime}$.

## Correctness: Rule 4



Now the distance between $s$ and $r$ is minimum, and all robots except $s^{\prime}$ can see both $s$ and $r$.

## Correctness: Rule 4



So, no robot other than $s^{\prime}$ can be an undecided leader. In this case, $s^{\prime}$ will move to a location where it can see both $s$ and $r$.

## Correctness: Rule 4



After this, all robots will wait until $s$, which is a cognizant leader, executes Rule 1.

## Correctness: Rule 4



Thus, if a robot ever executes Rule 4, all robots eventually gather.

## Correctness: Rules 2 and 3



Assume now that all moving robots only ever execute Rule 2 or Rule 3.

## Correctness: Rules 2 and 3



Claim: a robot that executes Rule 2 or 3 is either the true leader or it has an antipodal robot.

## Correctness: Rules 2 and 3



Indeed, the true leader is either $r=v(r)$ or $g(r)$.
In the latter case, $r$ must have an antipodal robot.

## Correctness: Rules 2 and 3



Moreover, Rules 2 and 3 ensure that, after $r$ has moved, it will never have an antipodal robot again.
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Moreover, Rules 2 and 3 ensure that, after $r$ has moved, it will never have an antipodal robot again.

## Correctness: Rules 2 and 3



But any robot other than the true leader moves only if it has an antipodal robot, and so it moves at most once.

## Correctness: Rules 2 and 3



Thus, eventually, only the true leader will move.

## Correctness: Rules 2 and 3



After the true leader $r$ has executed Rule 2 or 3 , it is still the true leader.

## Correctness: Rules 2 and 3



Eventually, $r$ becomes a cognizant leader, and executes Rule 1. We conclude that the robots gather in every case.

## Conclusion

## Results:

- If each robot can see less than a semicircle, the gathering problem is unsolvable
- This is true even if the total number of robots is known
- The result extends to all pattern-formation problems where the pattern is not centrally symmetric
- If each robot sees the whole circle except its antipodal point, there is a gathering algorithm


## Open problems:

- Find the smallest visibility range such that the gathering problem is solvable
- What if robots are asynchronous?
- What if robots can fail to reach their destination point?
- What if robots disagree on the clockwise direction?

