


TuringMobile: A Turing Machine of Oblivious Mobile Robots with Limited Visibility and Its Applications

DISC 2018

Giuseppe A. Di Luna, Paola Flocchini, Nicola Santoro,
Giovanni Viglietta


New Orleans – October 17, 2018

Anonymous robots sensing and moving




We consider a swarm of anonymous robots in a Euclidean space.

Anonymous robots sensing and moving




Each robot can see the positions of all robots within a range...

Anonymous robots sensing and moving




Each robot can see the positions of all robots within a range...

Anonymous robots sensing and moving




Each robot can see the positions of all robots within a range...

Anonymous robots sensing and moving




Each robot can see the positions of all robots within a range...

Anonymous robots sensing and moving




...And move according to a deterministic algorithm.

Anonymous robots sensing and moving




...And move according to a deterministic algorithm.

Anonymous robots sensing and moving




Different robots are activated asynchronously.

Anonymous robots sensing and moving




Different robots are activated asynchronously.

Anonymous robots sensing and moving



Different robots are activated asynchronously.

Anonymous robots sensing and moving




Different robots are activated asynchronously.


Robots are:

- **Dimensionless** (robots are modeled as geometric points)
- **Anonymous** (no unique identifiers)
- **Homogeneous** (the same algorithm is executed by all robots)
- **Autonomous** (no centralized control)
- **Silent** (no explicit way of communicating)
- **Short-sighted** (visibility of other robots limited to a range)
- **Disoriented** (robots do not share a common reference frame)

Robots may have internal memory **registers**, each of which can store a real number which can be read and updated.




Each robot perpetually repeats a Look/Compute/Move cycle.




Each robot perpetually repeats a Look/Compute/Move cycle.

Life cycle and asynchrony



In a Look phase, a snapshot is taken of all visible robots.

Life cycle and asynchrony




435	→	0.565
2.55		2.55
35.39		5.46
547		3458
7.455		4.79




The internal registers are updated based on the snapshot.

Life cycle and asynchrony




A destination is computed based on snapshot and registers.

Life cycle and asynchrony





The destination point is approached with unpredictable speed.

Life cycle and asynchrony




The destination point is approached with unpredictable speed.

Life cycle and asynchrony




The destination point is approached with unpredictable speed.

Life cycle and asynchrony




The destination point is approached with unpredictable speed.

Life cycle and asynchrony




The destination point is approached with unpredictable speed.

Life cycle and asynchrony





The robot may unpredictably stop before reaching the destination...

Life cycle and asynchrony




...and execute a new Look/Compute phase.

Life cycle and asynchrony




...and execute a new Look/Compute phase.

Life cycle and asynchrony



At each cycle, a robot is guaranteed to move by at least δ .

Life cycle and asynchrony



Different robots execute independent cycles, asynchronously.

Existence of a TuringMobile

Our main result:

Theorem

If $3(m + k)$ identical robots in \mathbb{R}^m with no memory are arranged in a specific pattern and execute a specific algorithm, they can collectively act in the same way as a single robot with k registers.

Moreover, this single robot does not unpredictably stop before reaching its destination point.

Existence of a TuringMobile

Our main result:

Theorem


If $3(m + k)$ identical robots in \mathbb{R}^m with no memory are arranged in a specific pattern and execute a specific algorithm, they can collectively act in the same way as a single robot with k registers.

Moreover, this single robot does not unpredictably stop before reaching its destination point.

⇒ A team of **unreliable oblivious** robots can simulate a single **reliable** robot **with memory**.

This is effectively a Turing machine that computes and moves through space: the team simulating it is called “TuringMobile”.

Basic component of the TuringMobile


Commander
Robot



Reference
Robot


Number
Robot


A TuringMobile consists of several copies of a basic component.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




This is the position at rest of the basic component.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




Robots can determine their own identities based on their distances: the Commander and the Reference robot are always closest, etc.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




Any real number (i.e., a “state”) can be represented by the Number robot based on its position along a small segment.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




The three robots coordinate themselves to move by a fixed step in one of three fixed directions.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




When in the rest position, the Commander chooses its next destination point based also on the state encoded by the Number.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




We want all robots to move by the same vector, but it is not wise to let them move at the same time, due to asynchrony.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




The Commander reaches the middle triangle along its path...

Basic component of the TuringMobile




The Commander reaches the middle triangle along its path...

Basic component of the TuringMobile




...And it moves to mark the next state of the machine.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




...And it moves to mark the next state of the machine.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




The Number robot sees that and moves to match the same state.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




The Number robot sees that and moves to match the same state.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




The Commander finishes its move to the destination point.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




The Commander finishes its move to the destination point.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




The Number robot moves by the same vector as the Commander.

Basic component of the TuringMobile




(It starts moving when the distance between the Commander and the Reference is either maximum possible or minimum possible.)

Basic component of the TuringMobile




Finally, the Reference robot moves by the same vector, and the system is again in the rest position.

Basic component of the TuringMobile



To determine its destination point, it computes the point at distance d from the Commander that forms an angle of 90° .

Basic component of the TuringMobile



To determine its destination point, it computes the point at distance d from the Commander that forms an angle of 90° .

Basic component: protocol correctness

Does this protocol really work as intended in spite of the robots' asynchrony and unreliability?

Does this protocol really work as intended in spite of the robots' asynchrony and unreliability?

We can decompose the execution into phases:

During each phase, only one robot is supposed to move, while the other two are supposed to wait. *Does this actually happen?*

Basic component: protocol correctness

Does this protocol really work as intended in spite of the robots' asynchrony and unreliability?

We can decompose the execution into phases:

During each phase, only one robot is supposed to move, while the other two are supposed to wait. *Does this actually happen?*

- If a robot r is moving as per phase i and another robot r' sees it (due to asynchrony), we want to prove that r' correctly recognizes the current phase as i , and so it waits.
- If a robot moves as per phase i and is stopped before it reaches its destination (due to unreliability), we want to prove that it takes another snapshot and correctly resumes phase i .


This boils down to showing that no configuration is ambiguous, i.e., it cannot be identified as belonging to two different phases.

Basic component: protocol correctness



Example: When the Reference robot moves, the Commander cannot mistakenly believe that it is its turn to move.

Basic component: protocol correctness



Example: When the Reference robot moves, the Commander cannot mistakenly believe that it is its turn to move.

Basic component: protocol correctness




Example: When the Reference robot moves, the Commander cannot mistakenly believe that it is its turn to move.

Basic component: protocol correctness




Note: These geometric proofs work because we allow the robots to move in only 3 specific directions.

TuringMobile: full construction




A single basic component can move by fixed-length steps in 3 fixed directions and store and update an arbitrary real number.

TuringMobile: full construction




To simulate a reliable robot with k registers in \mathbb{R}^m ,
we use $k + m$ basic components.

TuringMobile: full construction




There is an implicit total order on the basic components, and the Commander of the first one is called Leader.

TuringMobile: full construction




We use k basic components to store the contents of the registers.

TuringMobile: full construction




We use m basic components to store the coordinates of the destination point of the TuringMobile with respect to the Leader.

TuringMobile: full construction




When the TuringMobile has to move, all the components move in order, starting from the Leader's component.

TuringMobile: full construction




When the TuringMobile has to move, all the components move in order, starting from the Leader's component.

TuringMobile: full construction




When the TuringMobile has to move, all the components move in order, starting from the Leader's component.

TuringMobile: full construction




When the TuringMobile has to move, all the components move in order, starting from the Leader's component.

TuringMobile: full construction



Since the components can only move by fixed-length steps, the TuringMobile may be unable to reach its destination in one step.

TuringMobile: full construction




The TuringMobile gets as close as possible to its destination, accordingly updating the values stored in the basic components.

TuringMobile: full construction




When the TuringMobile cannot get any closer to the destination point, it pretends to be there and computes the next destination.

TuringMobile: full construction




The first m basic components are arranged in space in such a way as to give an m -dimensional sense of direction to the TuringMobile.

Application: exploring the plane




A single basic component can explore the entire plane, i.e., it can see every point in the course of an infinite execution.

Application: exploring the plane



This is done by performing a spiral-like movement
in the three possible directions.

Application: exploring the plane




The machine's register counts the number of steps that it has taken, and the Commander reads it to compute its next direction.

Minimality of the basic component




We can indirectly prove that the basic component's design is minimal: indeed, 2 anonymous robots cannot explore the plane.

Minimality of the basic component




Suppose that their local coordinate systems are oriented symmetrically and they are always activated synchronously.

Minimality of the basic component




Since they always have symmetric views,
they move in a symmetric way.

Minimality of the basic component




So, in order to explore the plane, they must lose sight of each other. wlog, in this situation they move horizontally.

Minimality of the basic component



At some point they must stop in the yellow areas. Henceforth, they move horizontally forever, failing to explore the plane.

Application: Near-Gathering with limited visibility




Problem: Make all robots in the plane gather in a small area without ever colliding.

Application: Near-Gathering with limited visibility




Solution: Put a small-enough basic component of a TuringMobile anywhere, and make it explore the plane.

Application: Near-Gathering with limited visibility




When the TuringMobile gets close enough to a robot, it waits for it to reach a designated area near the Commander.

Application: Near-Gathering with limited visibility




The TuringMobile's shape implicitly gives a total order to the robots that are eligible to move to the designated area.

Application: Near-Gathering with limited visibility




So the robots can coordinate themselves by moving one at a time, avoiding collisions and accidental formation of other TuringMobiles.

Application: Near-Gathering with limited visibility




So the robots can coordinate themselves by moving one at a time, avoiding collisions and accidental formation of other TuringMobiles.

Application: Near-Gathering with limited visibility




When all the eligible robots have reached the designated area, the TuringMobile resumes the exploration, and the robots follow it.

Application: Near-Gathering with limited visibility




Eventually, all robots are in a small-enough area,
and the Near-Gathering problem is solved.

Application: Pattern Formation with limited visibility




Problem: Make the robots form a given pattern, arbitrarily rotated or scaled.

Application: Pattern Formation with limited visibility




Solution: Solve the Near-Gathering problem first,
then form the pattern.

Application: Pattern Formation with limited visibility




Again, the TuringMobile's shape gives an implicit total order to the robots. We make them move one by one to form the pattern.

Application: Pattern Formation with limited visibility




Again, the TuringMobile's shape gives an implicit total order to the robots. We make them move one by one to form the pattern.

Application: Pattern Formation with limited visibility



The last robots to move are the ones constituting the TuringMobile: the others provide a reference to guide them.

Application: Pattern Formation with limited visibility



The last robots to move are the ones constituting the TuringMobile: the others provide a reference to guide them.

Conclusion

Main result: We can simulate a reliable robot with k registers in \mathbb{R}^m with a team of $3k + 3m$ identical unreliable robots with no memory, arranged in a pattern called TuringMobile.

Applications:

- Exploration of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^m ,
- Near-Gathering in \mathbb{R}^m (limited visibility, no axis agreement), provided that a unique TuringMobile is present,
- Pattern Formation in \mathbb{R}^m (limited visibility, no axis agreement), provided that a unique TuringMobile is present.

Open problem: Minimize the number of robots in a TuringMobile.

Conjecture: $k + m + 3$ robots are sufficient.