Shape Formation by Programmable Particles WTCS 2018

Giovanni Viglietta (JAIST, Nomi City, Japan)

lizuka City - September 10, 2018



In this model, particles occupy nodes of a triangular grid.













A system of particles is given.



Particles move asynchronously following an algorithm.



Particles move asynchronously following an algorithm.



At each step, any set of particles is activated by an *adversary*.



At each step, any set of particles is activated by an *adversary*.



The goal is to form a *shape* that is given as input to all particles.

Shape Formation



The shape formation algorithm should be *deterministic*.

Shape Formation



The shape can be scaled up depending on the size of the system.

Related Literature

Original paper introducing Amoebots:



Leader election and shape formation with self-organizing programmable matter

DNA 2015

Randomized shape-formation algorithm for sequentially activated Amoebots starting from a triangular shape:

Derakhshandeh, Gmyr, Richa, Scheideler, Strothmann Universal shape formation for programmable matter SPAA 2016

Deterministic algorithm, general shapes, asynchronous Amoebots:

Di Luna, Flocchini, Santoro, Viglietta, Yamauchi Shape formation by programmable particles DISC 2017 (BA), OPODIS 2017

Our Particle Model

The *n* particles in the system:

- initially form any simply connected shape
- know the final shape but do not know n
- have a constant amount of internal memory
- are anonymous and start in the same state
- can only see and communicate with adjacent particles
- do not have a *compass*
- may not agree on a clockwise direction
- are activated asynchronously
- execute the same <u>deterministic</u> algorithm
- cannot occupy the same node



If the system has a center of symmetry not in a grid node...



Then this symmetry is impossible to break.



The same holds for systems with a 3-fold rotational symmetry.



If the center is not in a grid node, the symmetry is unbreakable.

Theorem

If the system initially has an unbreakable symmetry, it cannot form shapes that do not have the same symmetry.

Theorem

For all other cases, there is a <u>universal shape-formation algorithm</u>, provided that the system initially forms a <u>simply connected</u> shape, and the final shape and its scaled-up copies are <u>Turing-computable</u> (with some bland extra assumptions).



Start with a sufficiently large simply connected system.



Phase 1: attempt to elect a leader.



Phase 2: construct a spanning forest.



Phase 3: agree on a clockwise direction.



Phase 4: form one line per leader.



Phase 5: simulate Turing machines to compute the final shape.



Phase 6: keep computing while forming the final shape.



Phase 6: keep computing while forming the final shape.



All particles are initially *eligible*. Depending on its eligible neighbors, a particle may decide to *eliminate* itself or stay eligible.



There is just one special case, where the particle has to communicate with a neighbor to ensure that its elimination would not disconnect the set of eligible particles.



Following this protocol, the set of eligible particles remains simply connected, even if activations happen asynchronously.



Following this protocol, the set of eligible particles remains simply connected, even if activations happen asynchronously.



Following this protocol, the set of eligible particles remains simply connected, even if activations happen asynchronously.



Following this protocol, the set of eligible particles remains simply connected, even if activations happen asynchronously.












Following this protocol, the set of eligible particles remains simply connected, even if activations happen asynchronously.



Following this protocol, the set of eligible particles remains simply connected, even if activations happen asynchronously.





When the process ends, the particles that are still eligible become *candidate leaders*.



When the process ends, the particles that are still eligible become *candidate leaders*.



The candidate leaders are all adjacent, and can be at most 3.



Each candidate leader starts constructing a tree.



Each candidate leader starts constructing a tree.



Each node of a tree tries to extend the tree in all directions by sending *merge requests* to its neighbors.



If a node is already part of a tree, it refuses further merge requests.



Otherwise, it sets a *parent* variable to the *port number* corresponding to a neighbor that sent a request.



Since the shape is connected, eventually a spanning forest is constructed.



Nodes that cannot expand anymore send a *termination message* to their parents, starting from the leaves.



Nodes that cannot expand anymore send a *termination message* to their parents, starting from the leaves.



Eventually, the termination messages reach the candidate leaders, and the phase ends.



We want two candidate leaders to agree on the same handedness.



If they have a common neighbor, they send a message to it. If the same neighbor receives both messages, it means that the candidate leaders have opposite handedness.



So, the neighbor decides which candidate leader has to change its handedness, and sends it a message.



So, the neighbor decides which candidate leader has to change its handedness, and sends it a message.



If the candidate leaders have no common neighbor, they try to expand to a neighboring location.



If the candidate leaders have no common neighbor, they try to expand to a neighboring location.



If one of them fails to reach it, it means that they have opposite handedness.



So, the candidate leader that fails to expand changes its own handedness.



If a candidate leader succeeds to expand, it then contracts and moves back to its original location.



If a candidate leader succeeds to expand, it then contracts and moves back to its original location.



If a candidate leader succeeds to expand, it then contracts and moves back to its original location.



Eventually, all candidate leaders get the same handedness.



By a similar protocol, the agreed-upon handedness is communicated along the trees until all particles agree.



By a similar protocol, the agreed-upon handedness is communicated along the trees until all particles agree.



Since several instances of the protocol are taking place across the network, appropriate *locking* and *unlocking* mechanisms have to be implemented, and the absence of *deadlocks* has to be proven.

Leader Election Phase



The candidate leaders want to compare their respective trees, in an attempt to break symmetry.


They do so by a breadth-first search, forwarding a message to a node and waiting for it to reply with a representation of its neighborhood.



When all candidate leaders have received a reply from a node, they compare it to see if the symmetry can be broken.



If the replies are all equal, they proceed with the next node.



If the replies are all equal, they proceed with the next node.



As soon as the replies are not all equal, a unique leader is elected, and the other candidate leaders become its children.



As soon as the replies are not all equal, a unique leader is elected, and the other candidate leaders become its children.



As soon as the replies are not all equal, a unique leader is elected, and the other candidate leaders become its children.



If the last node of each tree has been reached and the replies are still all equal, then the trees must be equal and equally oriented (because all particles agree on the same handedness).



If the last node of each tree has been reached and the replies are still all equal, then the trees must be equal and equally oriented (because all particles agree on the same handedness).



If the last node of each tree has been reached and the replies are still all equal, then the trees must be equal and equally oriented (because all particles agree on the same handedness).



In this case the initial shape has an unbrekable symmetry, and all candidate leaders become leaders.



This protocol allows a chain of particles, led by a *pioneer*, to move around without leaving particles behind.



The pioneer expands in some direction and then contracts.



The pioneer expands in some direction and then contracts.



The next particle notices the absence of its parent and moves to the location where it used to be.



The next particle notices the absence of its parent and moves to the location where it used to be.





















When the pioneer receives the termination message, it moves again, and the protocol repeats.



When the pioneer receives the termination message, it moves again, and the protocol repeats.



Each leader wants to transform its tree into a line segment.



A pioneer is sent forth to the designated direction.














Straightening Phase



The lines must have the same length, so the leaders communicate with each other to make their pioneers move at the same pace.

- A random-access machine is a model of computation with:
 - some *registers*, each storing a non-negative integer
 - a finite *program* consisting of only 3 types of instructions:
 - increment the value stored in a register by 1
 - if the value stored in a register is positive, $\underline{decrement}$ it by 1
 - $\underline{\text{test}}$ the value of a register and $\underline{\text{branch}}$ if it is 0

A random-access machine is a model of computation with:

- some *registers*, each storing a non-negative integer
- a finite *program* consisting of only 3 types of instructions:
 - increment the value stored in a register by 1
 - if the value stored in a register is positive, decrement it by 1
 - $\underline{\text{test}}$ the value of a register and $\underline{\text{branch}}$ if it is 0

Theorem (Minsky, 1967)

Any Turing machine can be simulated by a random-access machine with only 2 registers, the first of which initially contains the input.



A random-access machine with 2 registers can be simulated by 4 particles: a *leader*, which executes the program, and 3 particles whose distances correspond to the values stored in the 2 registers.





































Register 1 Register 2 8 5



If the leader has to test if the value of the second register is 0, it reaches the second-to-last particle and exchanges messages with it, asking if the last particle is adjacent to it.



If the leader has to test if the value of the second register is 0, it reaches the second-to-last particle and exchanges messages with it, asking if the last particle is adjacent to it.



If the leader has to test if the value of the second register is 0, it reaches the second-to-last particle and exchanges messages with it, asking if the last particle is adjacent to it.



If the leader has to test if the value of the second register is 0, it reaches the second-to-last particle and exchanges messages with it, asking if the last particle is adjacent to it.



If k > 1 leaders have been elected in the previous phases, it means that the initial shape has an unbreakable k-fold symmetry.



Hence, we may assume that also the shape to be formed has the same k-fold symmetry.



The plane is partitioned into k sectors, and each leader is tasked with forming the part of the shape that falls in its sector.



The plane is partitioned into k sectors, and each leader is tasked with forming the part of the shape that falls in its sector.



Assume there is an algorithm that, given n, generates the points of the shape. Let each leader simulate a RAM for that algorithm.



The leader takes position at the beginning of the simulated RAM.



By scanning the previous part of the chain, it constructs a representation of n in the first register, which serves as the input.



The simulated RAM will generate all the points of the shape and the sequence of moves necessary to reach them.



The simulated RAM computes the first point of the shape, while the rest of the chain does not move.


When the RAM has finished, the value of the first register indicates that the chain has to move in some direction.



(The movement of the whole chain is coordinated by the leader, and takes place one particle at a time.)



The RAM computes the next movement, and the whole chain moves as soon as the computation is finished.



The RAM computes the next movement, and the whole chain moves as soon as the computation is finished.











A message is forwarded to the last particle, telling it to stay there, and perhaps expand in some direction to cover two points.



A message is forwarded to the last particle, telling it to stay there, and perhaps expand in some direction to cover two points.























The algorithm ensures that the last 4 points of the shape are on the same line parallel to the chain of particles.



When the leader is on the first of these 4 points, it makes the RAM contract, erasing the registers.



Assuming that the distance of the other 3 points is bounded by a constant, the particles can reach them using constant memory.



Assuming that the distance of the other 3 points is bounded by a constant, the particles can reach them using constant memory.

This protocol allows the system to form shapes that scale up like fractals (e.g., the Sierpinski triangle).

Shape Formation by Programmable Particles









Forming Segments and Full Triangles



To form a general shape, the total number of *moves* taken by the particles depends on the algorithm that computes its points.

Forming Segments and Full Triangles



But if the shape consists only of segments and full triangles, a special protocol allows to form it in $O(n^2)$ total moves.

Forming Segments and Full Triangles



But if the shape consists only of segments and full triangles, a special protocol allows to form it in $O(n^2)$ total moves.

Matching Lower Bound



This example shows that $O(n^2)$ total moves are optimal.

Shape Formation by Programmable Particles

Theorem

There is a <u>universal shape-formation algorithm</u> that allows a system of at least 4 particles, initially in a simply connected configuration (possibly with an unbreakable symmetry), to form any Turing-computable shape (with the same symmetry) such that, at every scale, each symmetric component has at least 4 points lying on a segment of constant length.

Theorem

If the shape to be formed consists only of segments and full triangles, the system can form it in $O(n^2)$ moves (optimally) and $O(n^2)$ rounds.

Open problem: are $O(n^2)$ rounds optimal?